PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220
29367	ACTION	as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/monti	h/year) (Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/IL2005/000196	16/02/2005	16/02/2004
Applicant		
YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMEN	NT COMPANY OF THE	
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Sea ansmitted to the International Burea	urching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant u.
This International Search Report consists	of a total ofsh	eets.
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document of	cited in this report.
 Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, un 	international search was carried ou less otherwise indicated under this i	t on the basis of the international application in the item.
The international this Authority (Ru		s of a translation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucle	otide and/or amino acid sequenc	e disclosed in the international application, see Box No. 1.
2. Certain claims were for	and unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).	
4. With regard to the title ,	ubmitted by the conlinerat	
	ubmitted by the applicant. shed by this Authority to read as follows:	lows:
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	submitted by the applicant.	
the text has been estable may, within one month for	ished, according to Rule 38.2(b), by rom the date of mailing of this interm	this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant ational search report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings ,		
a. the figure of the drawings to be		e No
as suggested by as selected by t	his Authority, because the applicant	failed to suggest a figure.
	his Authority, because this figure be	
b. none of the figures is to	be published with the abstract.	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/IL2005/000196

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61K31/05 A61P3/10

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

1

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 A61K A61P

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, BIOSIS, EMBASE, MEDLINE, CHEM ABS Data

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	"Cannabis-based medicinesGW pharmaceuticals: high CBD, high THC, medicinal cannabisGW pharmaceuticals, THC:CBD." DRUGS IN R&D. 2003, vol. 4, no. 5, 2003, pages 306-309, XP009048624 ISSN: 1174-5886 page 307, 4th full paragraph	1-5
X	WO 99/53917 A (THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, REPRESENTED BY THE SEC) 28 October 1999 (1999-10-28) page 3, line 26-30; page 10, line 31-34; page 11, line 12-27; page 23, line 17-19	1-18

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.	Patent family members are listed in annex.		
 Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance 	*T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention		
 E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or 	 "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the 		
which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)			
O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	document is combined with one or more other such docu- ments, such combination being obvious to a person skilled		
P document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	in the art. *&* document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report		
8 June 2005	21/06/2005		
Name and mailing address of the ISA	Authorized officer		
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Borst, M		

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/IL2005/000196

		101/11/2005	
C.(Continua Category °	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages		Relevant to claim No.
X	WO 03/063847 A (GW PHARMA LIMITED; WHITTLE, BRIAN; JAVID, FARIDEH, AFSHIN) 7 August 2003 (2003-08-07) page 1, line 18-25; page 2, line 28 - page		1-5
Y	WEISS LOLA ET AL: "Cytokine production in Linomide-treated nod mice and the potential role of a Th (1)/Th(2) shift on autoimmune and anti-inflammatory processes." CYTOKINE. 21 JUL 2002, vol. 19, no. 2, 21 July 2002 (2002-07-21), pages 85-93, XP002330933 ISSN: 1043-4666 figure 1; figure 4; page 87-91, paragraph entitled "Discussion"		1-23
Y	SRIVASTAVA M D ET AL: "DELTA 9 TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL AND CANNABIDIOL ALTER CYTOKINE PRODUCTION BY HUMAN IMMUNE CELLS" IMMUNOPHARMACOLOGY, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS BV, vol. 40, no. 3, October 1998 (1998-10), pages 179-185, XP000957596 ISSN: 0162-3109 page 183-184, paragraph entitled "Discussion"		1-23

1

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/IL2005/000196

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date	
WO 9953917	A	28-10-1999	AU CA EP JP WO US	766988 B2 3864699 A 2329626 A1 1071419 A1 2002512188 T 9953917 A1 6630507 B1	30-10-2003 08-11-1999 28-10-1999 31-01-2001 23-04-2002 28-10-1999 07-10-2003	
WO 03063847	Α	07-08-2003	EP GB WO	1482917 A1 2384707 A 03063847 A1	08-12-2004 06-08-2003 07-08-2003	

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:	see form P		KELLIN	2005 (1995) LTD. (1995) LTD. WRIT INTERNATION (I	PCT TEN OPINION OF THE NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT Rule 43bis.1) 21 June 2005 The form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
• •	cant's or agent's file r			FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 belo		
International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Pr					Priority date (day/month/year) 16.02.2004	
Applicant YISSUM RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF THE 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV	Priority Non-established Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of the control of the c	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation	is.1(a)(i) with regard to	o novelty, inventive step or industrial	
	 □ Box No. II □ Box No. IV □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VII 	Priority Non-established Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of the Certain defects of the Priority of the Pr	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation nents cited s in the international ap	is.1(a)(i) with regard to see supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial	
2.	 □ Box No. II □ Box No. IV □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VII 	Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain defect Certain observers.	ment of opinion with reg of invention tement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> itations and explanation nents cited	is.1(a)(i) with regard to see supporting such sta	o novelty, inventive step or industrial	
2.	☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII ☐ Box No. VIII	Priority Non-established Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain documents of Certain defects and Certain observational presentational presentation and Authoreau under Rules.	ment of opinion with regot invention tement under Rule 43bi itations and explanation ents cited in the international apvations on the international in the international its preliminary examination is nal Preliminary Examining its other than this one to	is.1(a)(i) with regard to is supporting such standard plication made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA").	o novelty, inventive step or industrial	
2.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cholenternational Burwill not be so cor	Priority Non-established Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain document Certain defect of Certain observations of the Internation observations and Authoreau under Rule insidered. as provided above a written reputation of mailing of mailing controls.	ment of opinion with regot invention tement under Rule 43bi itations and explanation ents cited in the international appraison on the international appraison on the internation is all Preliminary Examining examinary examination is examination of the examinary examination is examination in the examinary examination is examination in the examinary examination is examination in the examinary examination is examinary examination in the examinary examination is examinated examinary examination in the examinary examination is examinated examination in the examination in the examination is examinated examination in the examination in the examination is examinated examination in the examination in the examination in the examination in the examination is examinated examination in the examination	is.1(a)(i) with regard to is supporting such standard plication made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). To be the IPEA and the opinions of this Internation opinion of the opriate, with amendments.	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the	
2.	Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTI If a demand for in written opinion of the applicant cholinternational Burwill not be so cor If this opinion is, submit to the IPE months from the whichever expire	Priority Non-established Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; of Certain document Certain defects and Certain observations of the Internation coses an Authoreau under Rule insidered. As provided above a written reputate of mailing estater. Ins, see Form Possible 1.	ment of opinion with regolf invention tement under Rule 43bi itations and explanation nents cited in the international apprations on the international apprations on the international Preliminary Examining the 166.1bis(b) that written ove, considered to be a ly together, where appration of Form PCT/ISA/220	is.1(a)(i) with regard to is supporting such standard plication made, this opinion wing Authority ("IPEA"). To be the IPEA and the opinions of this Internation opinion of the opriate, with amendments.	ill usually be considered to be a However, this does not apply where e chosen IPEA has notifed the eational Searching Authority IPEA, the applicant is invited to sents, before the expiration of three	

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Borst, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8648



10/589623

IAP6 Rec'd PCT/PTO 16 AUG 2006

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000196

	Box N	No. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With r	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
a. type of material:					
		a sequence listing			
		table(s) related to the sequence listing			
b. format of material:					
		in written format			
		in computer readable form			
•	c. tim	ne of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	r C	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.			
4.	. Addit	tional comments:			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/IL2005/000196

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The obv	questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applic	inven able h	tion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non nave not been examined in respect of:			
	the entire international application,					
	claims Nos. 1,11,19 (examination and search of said claims only for the part relating to compounds according to formula (I))					
bec	pecause:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):					
Ø	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 1,11,19 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
	see separate sheet					
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.					
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 1,11,19					
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:					
	the written form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished			
			does not comply with the standard			
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.					
	See separate sheet for further details					

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

19-23

No:

Claims

1-18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-23

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-23

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Clarity (Article 6 PCT)

Present independent claims 1, 11, 19 are not clear, because the term "cannabidiol compound" has not a clearly defined meaning generally accepted in the art. Therefore, the search and substantive examination will be performed on the basis of the compounds according to formula (I).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Documents (D) considered to be relevant to novelty and inventive step

- D1: "Cannabis-based medicines--GW pharmaceuticals: high CBD, high THC, medicinal cannabis--GW pharmaceuticals, THC:CBD." DRUGS IN R&D. 2003, vol. 4, no. 5, 2003, pages 306-309, XP009048624 ISSN: 1174-5886
- D2: WO 99/53917 A (THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, REPRESENTED BY THE SEC) 28 October 1999 (1999-10-28)
- D3: WO 03/063847 A (GW PHARMA LIMITED; WHITTLE, BRIAN; JAVID, FARIDEH, AFSHIN) 7 August 2003 (2003-08-07)
- D4: WEISS LOLA ET AL: "Cytokine production in Linomide-treated nod mice and the potential role of a Th (1)/Th(2) shift on autoimmune and anti-inflammatory processes." CYTOKINE. 21 JUL 2002, vol. 19, no. 2, 21 July 2002 (2002-07-21), pages 85-93, XP002330933 ISSN: 1043-4666
- D5: SRIVASTAVA M D ET AL: "DELTA 9 TETRAHYDROCANNABINOL AND CANNABIDIOL ALTER CYTOKINE PRODUCTION BY HUMAN IMMUNE CELLS" IMMUNOPHARMACOLOGY, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS BV, vol. 40, no. 3, October 1998 (1998-10), pages 179-185, XP000957596 ISSN: 0162-3109

The numbering will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure.

1. Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

1.1. The subject-matter of present claims 1-5 is not new in the light of D1.

D1 (page 307, 4th full paragraph) discloses the use of a combined preparation of

CBD and THC for the treatment of patients with peripheral neuropathy secondary to diabetes mellitus.

The wording of the claims does not exclude the co-administration of further drugs apart from CBD. Moreover, the therapeutic administration to (i) patients with peripheral neuropathy secondary to diabetes mellitus cannot be distinguished from a therapeutic administration to (ii) patients with diabetes, since patient group (i) falls within patient group (ii).

- 1.2. The subject-matter of present claims 1-18 is not new in the light of D2. D2 (page 3, line 26-30; page 10, line 31-34; page 11, line 12-27; page 23, line 17-19) discloses the use of CBD for its antioxidant property for the treatment of oxidative associated diseases including autoimmune diseases, such as diabetes. Autoimmune diabetes is type 1 diabetes and includes insulitis.
- 1.3. The subject-matter of present claims 1-5 is not new in the light of D3. D3 (page 1, line 18-25; page 2, line 28 page 3, line 21) discloses the use of a cannabis extract rich in CBD for the treatment of nausea occurring in diabetes. Therapeutic use in (i) patients with nausea occurring in diabetes mellitus cannot be distinguished from a therapeutic use in (ii) patients with diabetes, since patient group (i) falls within patient group (ii).

2. Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

- 2.1. The subject-matter of present claims 1-5, 7-10 does not involve an inventive step, because the problem of providing an effective treatment is not solved for the whole scope of the claims.
 - The invention on file is based on the finding that CBD has positive effects in NOD mice. As stated in the application itself (cf. page 17, line 31 page 18, line 2) NOD mice develop spontaneous autoimmune diabetes and, therefore, represent an experimental model for insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Thus, the experimental evidence provided is clearly limited to type 1 diabetes and there are no facts provided supporting an extrapolation to type 2 diabetes. Thus, any subject-matter directed to or including the treatment of type 2 diabetes canot be considered as being solved and, hence, as involving an inventive step.
- 2.2. The subject-matter of claims 1-23 does not involve an inventive step in the light of D4 and D5.
 - Like the application on file D4 deals with the treatment of autoimmune diabetes and

International application No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/IL2005/000196

insulitis in NOD mice. According to D4 (figure 1; figure 4; page 87-91, paragraph entitled "Discussion") linomide reduced inter alia levels of TNF alpha and IFN gamma and prevents autoimmune insulitis and diabetes mellitus in NOD mice. D4 concludes that "Linomide and/or non-immunosuppressive agents with a similar mode of action may prove to be promising tools for the treatment of type I diabetes mellitus". D4 does not disclose a CBD compound.

The objective technical problem to be solved in the light of D4 was to provide further agents with a mode of action similar to linomide and effective in the treatment of type I diabetes mellitus.

D5 (page 183-184, paragraph entitled "Discussion") discloses a mechanism of action similar to that of linomide for CBD in autoimmune/inflammatory diseases by inhibitiion of TNF alpha and IFN gamma and, there with, directly points to the use of CBD for the treatment of type 1 diabes, insulitis and the protection of transplanted pancreatic cells.

Conclusion

In view of the far-reaching anticipation by the prior art cited it is at present apparent which part of the application could serve as a basis for a new, allowable claim. In any case limitation to type 1 diabetes appears to be inevitable.